IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

MISC.CIVIL APPLICATION NO.85 OF 2004

Sou.Tejaswini Santosh Gaikwad ...Applicant

Versus

Santosh Baburao Gaikwad ...Respondent

.....

Mr.Gajanan Kadam i/b Mr.Amit Sale for Applicant.

None for Respondent.

.....

**CORAM: A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.**

**JULY 19, 2006.**

**P.C.**

1. Heard Counsel for the Applicant. None appears for the Respondent though served. No reply has been filed to oppose the case made out in this Application.

2. For the reasons stated in the Application, which have remained uncontroverted, being sufficient cause, in the interest of justice, the Application will have to be allowed in terms of

prayer clause (b). Ordered accordingly. No order as to costs.

A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.